

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/700,491	11/05/2003	Ali Amara	. 03495.0300	6283
22852 75	90 11/17/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			CHEN, STACY BROWN	
LLP 901 NEW YOR	K AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			1648	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/700,491	AMARA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Stacy B. Chen	1648		

	Stacy B. Chen	1648					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, afl tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since							
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •	duning an aimedificing	tha isawaa faa				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			tne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 004)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Almonto filad amandus	nat namanlina tha				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>23-30,32-34,78-85,87-89 and 96-100</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 31,72-77,86 and s	<u>90-95</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11 The request for reconsideration has been considered by	t doos NOT place the application is	a condition for allowed	naa haaayaa:				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application if	i condition for allowa	ice because.				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The after-final amendment filed on October 25, 2005 will not be entered because the claims are not in condition for allowance. Applicant's amendment of April 28, 2005 introduced a limitation into the method claims that was not found in the prior art of record. The examiner withdrew the art rejections in view of the new limitation. However, the new limitation was a basis for rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. In the instant after-final amendment, Applicant proposes to amend the phrase in question (inhibit the binding of the Flaviviridae virus effector molecule to the DC-SIGN receptor by greater than 80%") to overcome the enablement rejection. Should this amendment be entered, the prior art must be reconsidered, thus minimally requiring further consideration.

Stacy B. Chen November 14, 2005